Development of Spin-Labeled Probes for Adenosine Receptors[†]

Janez Ilaš,^{‡,§} Slavko Pečar,^{§,∥} Jörg Hockemeyer,[‡] Harald Euler,[⊥] Armin Kirfel,[⊥] and Christa E. Müller*,[‡]

Pharmaceutical Institute, Pharmaceutical Chemistry Poppelsdorf, University of Bonn, Kreuzbergweg 26, D-53113 Bonn, Germany, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ljubljana, Aškerčeva 7, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia, J. Stefan Institute, Jamova 39, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia, and Mineralogisch-Petrologisches Institut, University of Bonn, Poppelsdorfer Schloss, D-53115 Bonn, Germany

Received June 21, 2004

Functionalized xanthine derivatives bearing a nitroxide moiety at the 3- or 8-position were synthesized as electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) probes. The 8-cyclopentyl-1-propyl-xanthine derivative **4**, spin-labeled at N3 by substitution with a nitroxide-bearing dihydropyrrole moiety, was a potent and selective A_1 adenosine receptor antagonist (K_i for A_1 5.5 nM, 1600-fold selectivity vs A_{2A} , >200-fold vs A_{2B} , and 310-fold vs A_3 adenosine receptors). 8-(1-Oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrrol-3-yl)-1,3-dipropylxanthine **10** (K_i for A_1 8.2 nM) was similarly potent and selective, while 8-(1-oxyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-yl)-1,3-dipropyl-xanthine **11** (K_i for A_1 160 nM) exhibited significantly lower affinity for A_1 adenosine receptors. 8-[4-(((1-Oxyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-yl)amino)-2-oxoethoxy)phenyl]-1-propylxanthine **14**, a 3-unsubstituted xanthine derivative, was found to be a potent A_{2B} adenosine receptor antagonist (K_i for A_2 48 nM) but also exhibited high affinity for A_1 receptors (K_i for A_1 15.7 nM). An X-ray structure of compound **10** was obtained, confirming the proposed structure. The novel spin-labeled A_1 -selective or A_1/A_{2B} -nonselective adenosine receptors in their membrane environment.

Introduction

Adenosine is a building block of many biologically relevant molecules such as ATP and nucleic acids. In addition, it has important regulatory functions mediated through adenosine receptors (ARs).^{1,2} So far four ARs (A₁, A_{2A}, A_{2B}, and A₃) have been characterized and cloned from several mammalian species. A1 and A2A receptors are so-called "high-affinity" subtypes, activated by adenosine in nanomolar concentrations, while A_{2B} and A₃ receptors ("low-affinity" subtypes) are usually activated by adenosine only at micromolar concentrations.¹⁻⁴ ARs are important new drug targets. All ARs are G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR), and being membrane proteins they are not easily amenable to crystallization. Therefore an exact structure elucidation through X-ray diffraction has not been achieved yet. The three-dimensional architecture of only two related membrane proteins, bacteriorhodopsin⁵ and (bovine) rhodopsin,⁶ has been revealed. These proteins have been used as templates for the modeling of GPCRs. To characterize the adenosine binding site and receptor structure, different indirect approaches have been used, including chemical modification of histidine residues and site-directed mutagenesis.^{7,8} However, many characteristics of ARs still have to be revealed, such as the exact process of ligand interaction with the cell membranes and the processes and mechanisms of receptor ligand recognition and signal transduction.

Functionalized receptor ligands have been extensively used for elucidating those processes, including radiolabeled compounds,⁹ ligands bearing photoaffinity labels,¹⁰ and fluorescence-labeled probes.^{11,12} To the best of our knowledge, spin-labeled ligands as electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) probes have not been developed for ARs so far.

Stable free radicals of the nitroxide type can be utilized as spin labels and spin probes in electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy. The fine structure of the resulting spectra provides information about the environment of the radical moiety and allows a description of the dynamical, structural, and redox properties of its surroundings.¹³ For this reason spinlabeling can also contribute to the elucidation of the structure, the dynamics, and thus the function of biomolecules such as proteins and nucleic acids. The microgeography of many active sites of enzymes could be described by EPR spectroscopy long before their X-ray structures were obtained.^{14–17}

The successful application of spin-labeling methods in enzyme and receptor studies strongly depends on a properly designed spin probe molecule. High biological activity and/or binding affinity of the paramagnetic compound are key requirements.

Nitroxide radicals are paramagnetic compounds.¹⁸ By steric hindrance it is possible to reduce their reactivity. Shielding can be achieved by inserting the nitroxide moiety into a 2,2,5,5- or 2,2,6,6-tetramethylated fiveor six-membered nonaromatic ring system (e.g., piperidine, pyrrolidine, or even dihydropyrrole). These stable radicals can be isolated in pure form and stored for up to several years without any decomposition. In addition, they can be functionalized in order to provide bifunc-

[†] Dedicated to the memory of Dr. Paul Janssen.

^{*} Address correspondence to this author: phone +49-228-73-2301; fax +49-228-73-2567; e-mail christa.mueller@uni-bonn.de.

[‡] Pharmaceutical Institute, Pharmaceutical Chemistry Poppelsdorf, University of Bonn.

[§] University of Ljubljana.

J. Stefan Institute.

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle \perp}$ Mineralogisch-Petrologisches Institut, University of Bonn.

Scheme 1^a



^{*a*} Reagents, conditions, and yields: (a) K_2CO_3 , DMF, rt, 16 h (55% 3); (b) THF/HMDS, pressure tube, microwave (MW) 100 W, 140 °C, 20 min (28% 4).

Scheme 2^a



 a Reagents, conditions, and yields: (a) EDC, methanol, rt 2 days (67% 8, 32% 9); (b) 1 M NaOH/dioxane (1:1), reflux 45 min (75% 10, 72 % 11).

tional compounds that can serve to introduce the radical functionality into a diamagnetic compound. The resulting spin-labeled probes can be very powerful molecular tools to study the interaction of ligands with receptors or enzymes by EPR spectroscopy.¹³

Our goal was to develop EPR probes for (a) the A_1 AR, which is the best investigated AR subtype, and (b) for the A_{2B} AR, still the most "enigmatic" AR subtype.¹⁹ Xanthine derivatives are the most intensively investigated class of AR antagonists. Structure–activity relationships are well-known. The A_1 AR receptor tolerates both bulky substituents such as cyclopentyl, phenyl, and 3-noradamantyl in the 8-position and large substituents (e.g., phenethyl) in the 3-position.⁴ These positions may therefore be suitable for substitution with bulky nitroxide moieties without significant influence on binding affinity.

On the other hand, A_{2B} -selective AR antagonists have been described in a series of 3-unsubstituted xanthines bearing a para-substituted 8-phenyl residue.²⁰ To obtain spin-labeled probes for A_{2B} ARs, we therefore planned to attach a nitroxide-bearing moiety to 1-propyl-8phenylxanthine via a spacer group. The synthesis and physicochemical and biological characterization of four new spin-labeled xanthine derivatives is described, two of which exhibit high affinity and selectivity for A_1 ARs and one of which is a potent but nonselective A_1/A_{2B} receptor ligand.

Synthesis

1-Propyl-8-cyclopentylxanthine derivative **4**, labeled in the 3-position, was prepared by condensation of 3-*n*propyl-5,6-diaminouracil²¹ and cyclopentyl carboxylic acid in the presence of (3-dimethylaminopropyl)ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) to yield compound 1.²² The N1-atom of the uracil derivative 1 could easily be alkylated in DMF in the presence of potassium carbonate with the spin-labeled alkyl bromide 2^{23} at room temperature, yielding the spin-labeled uracil derivative 3. Ring closure reactions under elimination of water to yield the final xanthine derivatives are usually performed either in aqueous alkaline solution, or by heating with the nonpolar 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) as a solvent and condensing agent. If polar reactants are used, conventional heating with HMDS (bp 126 °C) often requires long reaction times and high reaction temperatures.²⁴ For the ring closure reaction of **3** to the corresponding spin-labeled xanthine derivative 4, a mixture of HMDS and tetrahydrofuran (THF) in a microwave-assisted reaction (100 W, 140 °C, 20 min) was found to give the best results, with a yield of 28% (Scheme 1).

Xanthine derivatives 10 and 11, spin-labeled in the 8-position, were prepared starting from 5,6-diamino-1,3di-*n*-propyluracil 7. Coupling of 7 with carboxylic acid derivatives of stable nitroxide radicals $5^{23,25,26}$ and 6,²⁷ respectively, in methanol at room temperature with EDC as a condensing agent led directly to the uracil derivatives 8 and 9. After a reaction time of 2 days under argon, 8 could be obtained in good and 9 in moderate yields (Scheme 2).

The ring closure reaction of **8** and **9** to their corresponding xanthine derivatives **10** and **11** resulted in better yields when carried out in a mixture of aqueous sodium hydroxide solution and dioxane (1:1) under reflux conditions as compared to the condensation with HMDS. After a reaction time of only 45 min, highly pure **10** and **11** could be obtained in yields of more than 70%





^a Reagents, conditions, and yield: (a) EDC, DMAP, DMF/CH₂Cl₂, rt, 6 days, (14% 14).

(Scheme 2). In the case of **10**, crystallization from dichloromethane/diethyl ether provided sufficiently good crystals for X-ray analysis.

1,8-Disubstituted xanthine derivative 14, spin-labeled at the 8-residue, was synthesized starting from xanthine derivative 12.²⁰ The carboxylic group of xanthine derivative 12 was initially transferred to the corresponding acid chloride, which was subsequently condensed with the spin-labeled amine $13^{18,28}$ However, this reaction sequence resulted in only low yields of the desired compound 14 (<5%) associated with the formation of a wide range of side products. Coupling of 12 and 13 in the presence of EDC and 4-(N,N-dimethy)amino)pyridine (DMAP) resulted in better yields of 14 of up to 14% after a reaction time of 6 days with a 2-fold excess of the amine 13 (Scheme 3).²⁰ Compound 14 was poorly soluble in usual organic solvents, with the exception of dimethylformamide (DMF) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Due to its low solubility, 14 was isolated from the reaction mixture by extraction with large quantities of ethyl acetate.

Analytical Characterization of Spin-Labeled Ligands. For the new compounds 4, 10, 11, and 14, EPR spectra were recorded to prove the presence of the nitroxide group in the molecules and to determine the purity of the compounds. The EPR spectra of freshly prepared 1 mM solutions in dichloromethane, recorded at room temperature, showed the expected three-line spectra with three hyperfine lines for the spin-labeled compounds. ¹⁴N Hyperfine coupling constants (a_N) in the range of 14.76-15.93 G could be extracted for 4, 10, 11, and 14. Further characterization of the final spinlabeled compounds was achieved by thin-layer chromatography, infrared spectroscopy, and mass spectrometry (electrospray ionization mode). Elemental analysis and melting points were also determined. An X-ray structure of compound 10 provided further evidence for the proposed structure (Figure 1).

X-ray Structure Analysis of Compound 10. Since radicals cannot be investigated by standard NMR techniques, structural data gained by X-ray analysis were required. These data will also be valuable for the interpretation of data obtained by biophysicochemical investigations and subsequent molecular modeling studies of receptor-ligand complexes.

The crystal structure of **10** exhibits one molecule with normal intramolecular bond lengths per asymmetric unit. The four molecules per unit cell form two dimers that are held together by van der Waals forces. Each dimer consists of two molecules related by inversion symmetry and bonded via the hydrogen bonds N7–H7… O2 (corresponding to N7–H·…O=C6 according to the numbering in Scheme 2: N7–H7 = 0.917(4) Å, H7… O2 = 1.877(9) Å, N7–H7–O2 = 174.40(1)°; see Figure 1). The planar central parts of all dimers are oriented perpendicular to both (100) and alternatingly to [012]



Figure 1. Structure of the spin-labeled xanthine derivative **10** in the crystal. Atoms are drawn by spheres of arbitrary radius.

and [0-12], respectively. The xanthine ring system is almost planar with an rms value of 0.025 Å for the atom deviations from the least-squares plane defined by N1, C2, N3, C4, C5, C6, N7, C8, N9, O1, O2, and H7. The plane of the pyrroline-1-oxyl ring, defined by C10, C11, C12, N13, C14, O3, and H11 (rms = 0.035 Å), is tilted against the main plane by 14.3(3)°. Detailed crystal data are given in the Supporting Information.

Biological Activity

The spin-labeled xanthine derivatives were investigated in radioligand binding studies at A₁, A_{2A}, A_{2B}, and A_3 adenosine receptors by use of [³H]-2-chloro-N⁶cyclopentyladenosine ([³H]CCPA), [³H]-(*E*)-3-(3-hydroxypropyl)-8-[2-(3-methoxphenyl)vinyl]-7-methyl-1-prop-2ynyl-3,7-dihydropurine-2,6-dione ([³H]MSX-2), [³H]-8-[[4-(2-hydroxyethylamino)-2-oxoethoxy]phenyl]-1propylxanthine ([³H]PSB-298), and [³H]-2-phenyl-8ethyl-4-methyl-(8R)-4,5,7,8-tetrahydro-1H-imidazo[2,1*i*]purin-5-one ($[^{3}H]$ PSB-11), respectively, as A₁, A_{2A}, A_{2B}, and A₃ radioligands. Native brain tissues were used for A_1 (cortex) and A_{2A} (striatum) assays. Human recombinant receptors were used for A_{2B} and A_3 receptor assays. It had been shown that species differences for A_1 and A_{2A} receptors between rat and human receptors are moderate; therefore, we assumed that the rat data for these subtypes would be a good estimate for the human receptors as well.⁴

The results of the radioligand binding studies at ARs are presented in Tables1 and 2 and compared with the data of non-spin-labeled analogues. Compound **4** showed high affinity for the A_1 AR and at the same time it was selective for A_1 vs A_{2A} ARs by 1600-fold. Its A_1 affinity

 $\label{eq:comparison} \textbf{Table 1.} \ Adenosine \ Receptor \ Affinities \ and \ A_1 \ Selectivities \ of \ 3- \ and \ 8-Spin-Labeled \ Xanthine \ Derivatives \ in \ Comparison \ with \ Analogous \ Standard \ Compounds$



				$K_{ m i}\pm{ m SEM}~({ m nM})$						
compd	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^3	\mathbb{R}^8	A ₁ affinity, rat vs [³ H]CCPA	A _{2A} affinity, rat vs [³ H]MSX-2	A _{2B} affinity, human vs [³ H]PSB-298	A ₃ affinity, human vs [³ H]PSB-11			
	3- or 8-Spin-Labeled Xanthines									
4	propyl	nitroxide- bearing moiety	cyclopentyl	5.47 ± 0.92	8780 ± 1340	>1000 (23 \pm 2% inh ^a)	1700 ± 200			
10	propyl	propyl	nitroxide- bearing moiety	8.23 ± 1.30	3800 ± 500	3100 ± 1400	$\sim 10\ 000\ (47\pm 5\%\ inh^a)$			
11	propyl	propyl	nitroxide- bearing moiety	160 ± 6	6750 ± 750	>10 000 (25 \pm 0% inh. ^{<i>a</i>})	$\sim 10\ 000\ (45\pm5\%\ inh.^{a})$			
	1,3,8-Trialkylated Xanthines for Comparison									
15	propyl	benzyl	cyclopentyl	8.7^{b}	511^{c}	nd^d	54.6			
16	propyl	propyl	cyclopentyl	0.9	470	51^e	795			

^{*a*} inh = percent inhibition of radioligand binding at the indicated concentration (1 or 10 μ M). ^{*b*} Versus [³H]*R*-PIA. ^{*c*} Versus [³H]NECA. ^{*d*} Not determined. ^{*e*} Versus [³H]ZM-241385.

Table 2.Adenosine Receptor Affinities of A_{2B} -Selective Xanthine Derivatives and Their Spin-Labeled Analogue 14



			$K_{\rm i} \pm { m SEM} \ ({ m nM})$						
compd	R′	A ₁ affinity, rat vs [³ H]CCPA	A _{2A} affinity, rat vs [³ H]MSX-2	A _{2B} affinity, human vs [³ H]PSB-298	A ₃ affinity, human vs [³ H]PSB-11				
Spin-Labeled Xanthine									
14	propyl	15.7 ± 0.7	1270 ± 530	48 ± 0.7	350 ± 100				
Structurally Related Xanthines for Comparison ²⁰									
17	propyl	24	365	10^{a}	\mathbf{nd}^b				
18	butyl	41	479	5.3^{a}	676				
19	butyl	18	290	5.5^a	\mathbf{nd}^b				

^a Versus [³H]ZM-241380. ^b Not determined.

was similar to that of the related 3-benzyl-5-cyclopentyl-1-propylxanthine (**15**; K_i for A₁ = 8.7 nM),²⁹ showing that the replacement of the benzyl group by a 1-oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrrol-3-ylmethyl group had no significant effect on the affinity. In comparison with DPCPX (**16**),²⁰ which bears a propyl group in the 3-position, the larger 1-oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrrol-3-ylmethyl-substituted derivative **4** was about 6-fold less potent at A₁ ARs, but similarly or even more selective.

1,3-Dipropylxanthines spin-labeled in position 8 with either a 1-oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrrol-3-yl group (**10**) or a 1-oxyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-yl group (**11**) were not as potent as their nonspin-labeled analogue DPCPX (**16**). The pyrrolyl nitroxide moiety led to a 9-fold decrease in affinity to A_1 ARs of compound **10** in comparison with xanthine derivative **16**, which is provided with a cyclopentyl group in position 8.

Compound 11 with a larger piperidinyl nitroxide residue was 19-fold less potent than compound 10 (pyrrolyl nitroxide group) and 177-fold less potent than DCPCX. It is evident that the 1-oxyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-yl group is already too bulky and the volume of the piperidine ring in this region of 11 is too large to fit well into the A_1 receptor ligand binding site. The polarity of the nitroxide group may also be unfavorable for binding to the lipophilic pocket of the receptor.

Compound **10** was found to be a potent and highly selective spin-labeled A_1 antagonist (462-fold vs A_{2A} , 377-fold vs A_{2B} , >1000-fold vs A_3), while **11** exhibited only moderate affinity (K_i for $A_1 = 160$ nM) but was also quite selective (>40-fold) versus all other AR subtypes (Table 1).

Compound 14 was designed as an A_{2B} antagonist. As a matter of fact, 14 showed reasonably high affinity to A_{2B} ARs ($K_i = 48$ nM); however, it had even somewhat more affinity toward A_1 ARs ($K_i = 16$ nM) and is therefore a mixed A_1/A_{2B} ligand (Table 2). Compound 14 exhibited good selectivity versus A_{2A} (81-fold) and moderate selectivity versus A_3 ARs (7–22-fold). In comparison with structurally related xanthine derivatives (17, 18, and 19),²⁰ spin-labeled compound 14 was somewhat weaker at A_{2B} and more potent at A_1 ARs, resulting in a loss of A_{2B} selectivity (see Table 2).

Conclusions

The synthesis of four spin-labeled xanthine derivatives has been achieved. Two of the compounds (4 and 10), bearing spin-labels in different positions exhibit high affinity in the low nanomolar concentration range for A₁ ARs and are highly selective versus all other AR subtypes (\gg 100-fold). One compound (14) was a potent mixed A₁/A_{2B} ligand. The new compounds should be suitable probes for electron paramagnetic resonance studies of A₁ or A_{2B} ARs, respectively. Such probes will allow biophysical studies that may contribute to the understanding of the membrane receptor structures and their interaction with ligands.

Experimental Section

All commercially available reagents were obtained from various producers (Acros, Aldrich, Fluka, Merck, Sigma) and used without further purification. Solvents were used without additional purification or drying, unless otherwise noted. The reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on aluminum sheets with silica gel 60 F₂₄₅ (Merck). Column chromatography was carried out with silica gel 0.060-0.200 mm, pore diameter ca. 6 nm. EPR spectra were recorded at room temperature on an EPR spectrometer (Bruker ESP 300) at the Institute Jožef Stefan, Ljubljana, Slovenia. Mass spectra were recorded on an API 2000 (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany) mass spectrometer at the Institute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry Poppelsdorf, University of Bonn, Germany, or on a Varian-MAT 311 A mass spectrometer at the Institute Jožef Stefan, Ljubljana, Slovenia. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1600 FTIR spectrometer at the Institute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry Poppelsdorf, University of Bonn, Germany. Elemental analyses were performed by the Institute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry Endenich, University of Bonn, Germany. The melting points were determined on a Wepa Apotec (Wepa, Höhr-Grenzhausen, Germany) capillary melting point apparatus. The room-temperature X-ray singlecrystal analysis was carried out on an AFC6R single-crystal diffractometer (Rigaku) by the Mineralogical-Petrological Institute, University of Bonn, Germany.

Syntheses of Stable Nitroxide Radicals. 1-Oxyl-3-carboxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrrole (**5**),¹⁸ 1-oxyl-4-carboxyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (**6**)²⁷ and 1-oxyl-4-amino-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (**13**)^{18,28} were prepared in multistep syntheses from commercially available 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-one as described.^{18,27,28} 1-Oxyl-3-bromomethyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrrole (**2**) was prepared from 1-oxyl-3-carboxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrrole (**5**) as described.^{23,25,26}

6-Amino-1-(1-oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1*H*pyrrol-3-ylmethyl)-5-cyclopentanoylamido-3-propyluracil (3). Compounds 1^{22} (320 mg, 1.15 mmol), 2^{23} (280 mg, 1.20 mmol, 1.05 equiv), and anhydrous K₂CO₃ (240 mg, 1.75 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were suspended in dry DMF (13 mL). The suspension was stirred overnight at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified on a silica gel column with ethyl acetate/ ethyldimethylamine (98:2), yielding 238 mg (55%) of a red oil: IR (KBr) 3320 (NH₂), 2969, 2871, 1700, 1634 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI mode) 434.5 (M + H)⁺; R_f (ethyl acetate) = 0.35.

8-Cyclopentyl-3-(1-oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrrol-3-ylmethyl)-1-propylxanthine (4). Compound 3 (200 mg, 0.46 mmol) was suspended in 3 mL of THF in a 10 mL pressure tube. Finely ground ammonium sulfate (0.05 g) and 2 mL (10 mmol) of HMDS were added, forming a viscous yellow suspension. After microwaving (100 W, 140 °C, 20 min), 2 mL of methanol was added to the warm (50 °C) mixture. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (petrol ether/ diethyl ether = 3:1), yielding 54 mg (28%) of a pale-red oil: IR (KBr) 3168 (NH), 2968, 2872, 1712, 1652, 1598 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI mode) 415.3 (M + H)+; $a_N = 14.95$ G. Anal. (C₂₂H₃₂N₅O₃) H; C, calcd 63.75, found, 62.09; N, calcd 16.89, found 15.73. R_f (ethyl acetate) = 0.79.

Reaction of 5,6-Diamino-1,3-dipropyluracil (7) with Spin-Labeled Carboxylic Acids 5 and 6. To a mixture of 0.45 g (2.0 mmol) of 5,6-diamino-1,3-dipropyluracil 7 and 2.05 mmol of $5^{23,25,26}$ or, respectively, 6 in methanol (10 mL) was added EDC (0.4 g, 2.1 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 2 days under argon and protected from light. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (eluent dichloromethane/methanol, 92:8). The product was obtained as a yellow oil 8 or a red oil 9, respectively.

6-Amino-5-(1-oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1*H***-pyrrol-3-yl)carboxamido-3-propyluracil (8).** Yellow oil (yield 67%): IR (KBr) 3329 (NH₂), 2972, 2934, 2875, 1702, 1622 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI mode) 393.4 (M + H)⁺; R_f (eluent dichloromethane/methanol, 9:1) = 0.32.

6-Amino-5-(1-oxyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-yl)carboxamido-3-propyluracil (9). Red oil (yield 32%): IR (KBr) 3210 (NH₂), 2972, 2933, 2877, 1644 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI mode) 409.4 (M + H)⁺; R_f (eluent dichloromethane/methanol, 9:1) = 0.38.

Preparation of 1,3-Dipropylxanthines with a Spin-Labeled Substituent in the 8-Position (10, 11). Compound **8** or **9**, respectively (0.7 mol), was dissolved in a mixture of 1 M aqueous NaOH solution (8 mL) and dioxane (8 mL) and subsequently heated under reflux for 45 min. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, acidified with ca. 10 mL of a 1 M aqueous HCl solution, and left overnight to complete the precipitation. The product was filtered under reduced pressure, washed with water, and dried at 70 °C.

8-(1-Oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrrol-3yl)-1,3-dipropylxanthine (10). Yellow crystals (yield 75%): mp 188 °C; IR (KBr) 3148 (NH), 2969, 2933, 2872, 1702, 1651, 1597 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI mode) 375.4 (M + H)⁺; $a_N = 14.76$ G. Anal. (C₁₉H₂₈N₅O₃) C; H, calcd 7.54, found 7.48; N, calcd 18.70, found 18.36. R_f (ethyl acetate) = 0.63.

Crystal Structure Data. Yellow single crystals of **10** (FW = 374.46 for $C_{19}H_{28}N_5O_3$) were obtained from a dichloromethane/diethyl ether (1:1) solution.

For a crystal with dimensions of $0.35 \times 0.3 \times 0.2$ mm, the structure was established by X-ray single-crystal diffractometry (Figure 1). Diffraction data were collected with a Rigaku AFC6R four-circle single-crystal diffractometer, equipped with a graphite monochromator, using Mo K α radiation from a rotating anode generator. Compound **10** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group P_{21}/c (no. 14). Unit cell dimensions were determined from 25 reflections (7.7° < θ < 11.9°): a = 13.051(2) Å, b = 17.505(3) Å, c = 9.188(1) Å, $\beta = 103.35(1)$ °, V = 2042.3(5) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.218$ g/cm³, F(000) = 804.

Intensities of 7482 reflections with $1.98^{\circ} < \theta < 20.04^{\circ}$ were measured by ω scans. The data were corrected for the variations of three standard reflections monitored every 50 reflections. After data reduction, the structure was solved by direct methods with SHELXS-97 and refined on F^2 with SHELXL-97. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters, all hydrogen atoms located by difference Fourier calculations with isotropic displacement parameters. The refinement on a total of 1917 independent reflections varying 357 parameters converged at $R_1 = 0.0635$ and $wR_2 = 0.0891$ and for 1424 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ at $R_1 = 0.0364$, $wR_2 = 0.0805$, GoF = 1.047. Final residual electron density features were between -0.12 and $+0.11 \text{ e}^{-}/\text{Å}^3$.

8-(1-Oxyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-yl)-1,3-dipropylxanthine (11). Red crystals could be obtained by recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/diethyl ether (ca. 1:1): yield 72%, mp 192 °C; IR (KBr) 3193 (NH), 2972, 2938, 2875, 1703, 1661 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI mode) 391.3 (M + H)⁺; $a_{\rm N} = 15.93$ G; Anal. (C₂₀H₃₂N₅O₃) H; C, calcd 61.52, found 61.22; N, calcd 17.93, found 17.66. R_f (ethyl acetate) = 0.57.

8-[4-(((1-Oxyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-yl)amino)-2-oxoethoxy)phenyl]-1-propylxanthine (14). A solution of 12²⁰ (0.51 g, 1.48 mmol), 13^{18,28} (0.51 g, 2.98 mmol, 2.0 equiv), EDC (0.63 g, 3.28 mmol, 2.2 equiv), and DMAP (0.1 g, 0.82 mmol, 0.55 equiv) in a mixture of DMF (160 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (80 mL) was stirred for 6 days at room temperature under argon. The mixture was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure, and the residue was divided into three portions. Each portion was dissolved in ethyl acetate (350 mL). The solution was washed 5 times with 5% citric acid (70 mL) and then 5 times with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (70 mL). The ethyl acetate extracts were combined and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, yielding 105 mg (14%) of a pale red powder, mp > 350 °C; IR (KBr) 2969, 2929, 1716 (C=O), 1640 (C=N), 1055 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI mode) 498.5 $(M + H)^+$; $a_N = 15.93$ G. Anal. $(C_{25}H_{33}N_6O_5)$ C; H, calcd 6.68, found 6.85; N, calcd 16.89, found 16.60. R_f (ethyl acetate) = 0.38.

Biological Studies. Radioligand competition assays were performed as recently described,^{24,30} with rat brain cortical membrane preparations as a source of A_1 , rat brain striatal membrane preparations containing human A_{2B} or human A_3 adenosine receptors stably expressed in CHO or HEK cells as a source for A_{2B} and A_3 receptors, respectively. Radioligands were obtained from the following sources: [³H]CCPA was from NEN Life Sciences (54.9 Ci/mmol), and [³H]MSX-2 (85 Ci/mmol), [³H]PSB-11 (53 Ci/mmol), and [³H]PSB-298 (124 Ci/mmol) were custom-labeled by Amersham. The precursors were synthesized in our laboratory as previously described.³¹⁻³⁵

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. Joachim C. Burbiel for many valuable contributions as well as Ms. Sonja Hinz and Mr. Dieter Baumert for performing the radioligand binding assays. J.I. was supported by the European Commission within the ERASMUS program. C.E.M. is grateful for the support by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and the BMBF.

Supporting Information Available: Details on the X-ray analysis of compound **10**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- Fredholm, B. B.; IJzerman, A. P.; Jacobson, K. A.; Klotz, K. N.; Linden, J. International Union of Pharmacology. XXV. Nomenclature and Classification of Adenosine Receptors. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 2001, 53, 527–552.
- (2) Klotz, K. N. Adenosine receptors and their ligands. Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol. 2000, 362, 382-391.
- (3) Baraldi, P. G.; Cacciari, B.; Borea, P. A.; Varani, K.; Pastorin, G.; Da Ros, T.; Tabrizi, M. A.; Fruttalo, F.; Spallutto, G. Pyrazolo-Triazolo-Pyrimidine Derivatives as Adenosine Receptor Antagonists: A Possibile Template for Adenosine Receptor Subtypes? *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **2002**, *8*, 2299–2332.
- (4) Müller, C. E. A₁-Adenosine receptor antagonists. Exp. Opin. Ther. Parents 1997, 7, 419–440.
- (5) Luecke, H.; Schobert, B.; Richter, H. T.; Cartailler, J. P.; Lanyi, J. K. Structure of bacteriorhodopsin at 1.55 resolution. J. Mol. Biol. 1999, 29, 889–911.

- (6) Palczewski, K.; Kumasaka, T.; Hori, T.; Behnke, C. A.; Motoshima, H.; Fox, B. A.; Le Trong, I.; Teller, D. C.; Okada, T.; Stenkamp, R. E.; Yamamoto, M.; Miyano, M. Crystal structure of rhodopsin: a G protein-coupled receptor. *Science* **2000**, *289*, 739-745.
- (7) Olah, M. E.; Ren, H.; Ostrowski. J.; Jacobson, K. A.; Stiles, G. L. Cloning, expression, and characterization of the unique bovine A₁ adenosine receptor. Studies on the ligand binding site by site-directed mutagenesis. J. Biol. Chem. **1992**, 267, 10764–10777.
- (8) Kim, J.; Wess, J.; van Rhee, A. M.; Schoneberg, T.; Jacobson, K. A. Site-directed mutagenesis identifies residues involved in ligand recognition in the human A_{2A} adenosine receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1995**, *270*, 13987–13997.
 (9) Holschbach, M. H.; Olsson, R. A. Applications of adenosine
- (9) Holschbach, M. H.; Olsson, R. A. Applications of adenosine receptor ligands in medical imaging by positron emission tomography. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **2002**, *8*, 2345–2352.
- (10) Hatanaka, Y.; Sadakane, Y. Photoaffinity labeling in drug discovery and developments: chemical gateway for entering proteomic frontier. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* **2002**, *2*, 271–288.
- (11) Freudenthal, S. J. Fluorophordesign und Fluoreszenzmarkierung: Synthese funktionalisierter BODIPY-Derivate und Markierung von Purinrezeptor-Liganden (Fluorophor design and fluorescence labeling: synthesis of functionalized BODIPY derivatives and labeling of purine receptor ligands). Dissertation thesis, Pharmaceutical Institute, University of Bonn (Germany), 2002; http://hss.ulb.uni-bonn.de/ulb_bonn/diss_online/math_nat_fak/2002/freudenthal_sven_jan/.
 (12) Briddon, S. J.; Middleton, R. J. Cordeaux, Y.; Flavin, F. M.;
- (12) Briddon, S. J.; Middleton, R. J. Cordeaux, Y.; Flavin, F. M.; Weinstein, J. A.; George, M. W.; Kellam, B.; Hill, S. J. Quantitative analysis of the formation and diffusion of A1-adenosine receptor-antagonist complexes in single living cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2004, 101, 4673–4678.
- (13) Berliner, L. J. Spin Labeling II. Theory and Applications; Academic Press: New York, 1979.
- (14) Štalc, A.; Šentjurc, M.; Pečar, S. On the topography of the active center of acetylcholinesterase. Vestn. Slov. Kem. Drus. 1992, 39, 243-257.
- (15) Grubič, Z.; Štalc, A.; Šentjurc, M.; Pečar, S.; Gentry, K. M.; Doctor, B. P. Different effects of two peripheral anion sitebinging ligand on the acetylcholinesterase active-site gorge topography revealed by electron paramagnetic resonace. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1995**, *1249*, 155–160.
- (16) Šentjurc, M.; Pečar, S.; Stojan, J.; Marchot, P.; Radič, Z.; Grubič, Z. Electron paramagnetic resonace reveals altered active center gorge of acetylcholinesterase after binding to fasculin to the peripheral site. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1999**, *38856*, 349–358.
 (17) Sussman, L.; Harel, M.; Frolow, F.; Oefner, C.; Goldman, A.;
- (17) Sussman, L.; Harel, M.; Frolow, F.; Oefner, C.; Goldman, A.; Toker, L.; Silman, I. Atomic structure of acetylcholinesterase from *Torpedo californica*, a prototypic acetylcholine-binding protein. *Science* **1991**, 253, 872–879.
- (18) Rosantsev, E. G. Free Nitroxyl Radicals; Plenum Press: New York, 1970.
- (19) Volpini, R.; Costanzi, S.; Vittori, S.; Cristalli, G.; Klotz, K.-N. Medicinal chemistry and pharmacology of A_{2B} adenosine receptors. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* **2003**, *3*, 427–443.
- (20) Hayallah, A. M.; Sandoval-Ramirez, J.; Reith, U.; Preiss, B.; Schumacher, B.; Daly, J. W.; Müller, C. E. 1,8-Distributed Xanthine Derivatives: Synthesis of Potent A_{2B} Adenosine Receptor Antagonists. J. Med. Chem. **2002**, 45, 1500–1510.
- (21) Müller, C. E. General Synthesis and Properties of 1-Monosubstituted Xanthines. Synthesis 1993, 1, 125–128.
 (22) Weyler, S.; Hayallah, A. M.; Müller, C. E. Versatile, convenient
- (22) Weyler, S.; Hayallah, A. M.; Müller, C. E. Versatile, convenient synthesis of pyrimido[1,2,3-cd]purinediones. *Tetrahedron* 2003, 59, 47–54.
- (23) Hankovszky, H. O.; Hideg, K.; Lex, L. Nitroxyls; VII. Synthesis and Reactions of Highly Reactive 1-Oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5dihydropyrrol-3-ylmethyl Sulfonates. *Synthesis* **1980**, *11*, 914– 916.
- (24) Hockemeyer, J.; Burbiel, J. C.; Müller, C. M. Multigram-Scale Syntheses, Stability, and Photoreactions of A_{2A} Adenosine Receptor Antagonists with 8-Styrylxanthine Structure: Potential Drugs for Parkinson's Disease. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 3308–3318.
- (25) Hankovszky, H. O.; Hideg, K.; Tigyi, J. Nitroxides. II. 1-Oxyl-4-carboxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethylpyrroline-3-carboxilic Acid Derivatives. Acta Chim. Hung. 1978, 98, 339–348.
 (26) Hideg, K.; Hankovszky, H. O.; Lex, L.; Kulcsar, G. Nitroxyls;
- (26) Hideg, K.; Hankovszky, H. O.; Lex, L.; Kulcsar, G. Nitroxyls; VI. Synthesis and Reactions of 3-Hydroxymethyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydropyrrole-1-oxyl and 3-Formyl Derivatives. *Synthesis* **1980**, *11*, 911–913.
- (27) Rauckman, E. J.; Rosen, G. M.; Abou-Donia, M. B. Synthesis of a Useful Spin Labeled Probe, 1-Oxyl-4-carboxyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 564-565.
- (28) Raikova, E. T.; Demirov, G. D.; Kafalieva T. N. Synthesis, properties and biological activity of 4-ethylcarbonylamino-2,2,6,6tetramethyliperidine-1-oxyl. *Dokl. Bolg. Acad. Nauk.* **1982**, 35, 689-691.

- (29) Müller, C. E. Adenosinrezeptor-Antagonisten: Synthese, in-vitro-Testung, Struktur-Wirkungs-Beziehungen. Habilitation thesis, Pharmaceutical Institute Tübingen (Germany), 1994.
- (30) Yan, L.; Müller, C. E. Preparation, Properties, Reactions, and Adenosine Receptor Affinities of Sulfophenylxanthine Nitrophenyl Esters: Toward the Development of Sulfonic Acid Prodrugs with Peroral Bioavailability. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 1031– 1043.
- (32) Müller, C. E.; Maurinsh, J.; Sauer, R. Binding of [³H]MSX-2 (3-(3-hydroxypropyl)-7-methyl-8-(m-methoxystyryl)-1-propargylxanthine) to rat striatal membranes – a new, selective antagonist radioligand for A_{2A} adenosine receptors. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 2000, *1*, 259–265.
- (33) Müller, C. E.; Diekmann, M.; Thorand, M.; Ozola, V. [³H]-8-Ethyl-4-methyl-2-phenyl-(8R)-4,5,7,8-tetrahydro-1H-imidazo[2,1i]purin-5-one ([³H]PSB-11), a novel high-affinity antagonist radioligand for human A₃ adenosine receptors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2002**, *12*, 501–503.
- (34) Hayallah, A. M.; Sandoval-Ramirez, J.; Reith, U.; Schobert, U.; Preiss, B.; Schumacher, B.; Daly, J. W.; Müller, C. E. 1,8-Disubstituted xanthine derivatives: Synthesis of potent A_{2B}selective adenosine receptor antagonists. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 1500–1510.
 (35) Ozola, V.; Thorand, M.; Diekmann, M.; Qurishi, R.; Schumacher,
- (35) Ozola, V.; Thorand, M.; Diekmann, M.; Qurishi, R.; Schumacher, B.; Jacobson, K. A.; Müller, C. E. 2-Phenylimidazo[2,1-i]purin-5-ones: Structure-activity relationships and characterization of potent and selective inverse agonists at human A₃ adenosine receptors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2003, 11, 347–356.

JM049513X